

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: D-X MSCI EURO Government Bond 1-3 UCITS ETF

Legal entity identifier 6367007C7LNG81A0E627

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

  Yes

It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective**: %

in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

It made **sustainable investments with a social objective**: %

   No

It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 0.00% of sustainable investments

with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

with a social objective

 It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?



The main environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Sub-fund through the Investment Manager's ESG methodology were the following:

- Environmental: climate change prevention (in terms for example of reduction of carbon emission, carbon footprint, climate change vulnerability), pollution & waste prevention (with reference to toxic emissions & waste, packaging material & waste, electronic waste), environmental opportunities (in clean tech, in renewable energy);

- Social: human capital (labor management, health & safety, human capital development, supply chain labor standards), product liability (product safety & quality, chemical safety, consumer financial protection, privacy & data security, responsible investment, health & demographic risk), social opportunities (access to communications, access to finance, access to health care, opportunities in nutrition & health), stakeholder opposition (controversial sourcing, community relations).

The Sub-fund tracks the MSCI Eurozone 1Y- 3Y ESG Tilt Select Government Bond Index (the "Index") for the purpose of attaining the environmental or social characteristics.

Environmental and social characteristics are pursued through the indicators listed in the section: "How

did the sustainability indicators perform?".

Sustainability indicators
measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

● ***How did the sustainability indicators perform?***

The Index promotes Environmental and Social characteristics as well as best practices of Corporate Governance using MSCI ESG Fixed Income Indices Methodology. The Index is designed to represent the performance of the government bonds issued in the Eurozone, giving more weight to countries having Environment, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) rating equal or above AA.

The tracking error of the Sub-fund's performance vis-à-vis the Index is the indicator used to measure the attainment of the social and environmental characteristics promoted by the Sub-fund.

The ESG score of the portfolio was A.

● ***...and compared to previous periods?***

Not applicable, given that no prior periodic disclosure, as mandated by Regulation 2022/1288, has been presented.

● ***What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?***

Not applicable, the product promotes environmental and social characteristics but does not have a sustainable investment objective.

● ***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

Not applicable, the product promotes environmental and social characteristics but does not have a sustainable investment objective.

Principal adverse impacts
are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

→ ***How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?***

Not applicable, the product promotes environmental and social characteristics but no commitment to make investments with a sustainable objective has been made.

→ ***Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:***

Not applicable, the product promotes environmental and social characteristics but does not have a sustainable investment objective.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Investment Manager specifically considers the following principal adverse impact (“PAI”) indicators as set out in annex I of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 (SFDR RTS) for government bond and supranationals: GHG intensity and Investee Countries subject to social violations.

The Sub-fund’s Investment Manager can check the PAIs data through a periodic monitoring report, in which it can consult the values of the indicators at level of the Sub-fund and, where relevant and possible, at respective benchmark level in order to include this information in the investment decision-making process. However, considering the large variability of PAIs data at sectoral and geographical level, as well as their retrospective nature, no thresholds or stringent limits are set at portfolio level.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting **the greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is:
31/08/2025 - 31/08/2025

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
OBL 1.3 10/15/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	3.49%	Germany
FRTR 2 3/4 10/25/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	3.14%	France
DBR 0 1/2 08/15/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	3.08%	Germany
FRTR 0 3/4 05/25/28	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	3.07%	France
DBR 0 08/15/26	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.90%	Germany
DBR 0 1/4 02/15/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.74%	Germany
DBR 0 1/2 02/15/28	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.52%	Germany
FRTR 0 3/4 02/25/28	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.47%	France
OBL 0 10/09/26	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.31%	Germany
OBL 2.2 04/13/28	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.30%	Germany
FRTR 2 1/2 09/24/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.17%	France
OBL 0 04/16/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.06%	Germany
FRTR 1 05/25/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.02%	France
FRTR 0 02/25/27	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	2.01%	France
BKO 2.7 09/17/26	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	1.94%	Germany



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

What was the asset allocation?

In accordance with the binding elements of the investment strategy adopted for promoting the environmental and social characteristics, the proportion of the investments that met the ESG criteria (in terms of ESG rating coverage) as of 31/08/2025 was 100%, compared to the minimum

Asset allocation
describes the share of


investments in specific assets.

threshold of 0% of the portfolio (box #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics).

The remaining proportion (0% corresponding to the box #2 Other) of the investments (not included in the investments for promoting environmental or social characteristics) should be limited to:

- debt securities other than those referred to in the core policy,
- money market instruments and financial derivative instruments which may be held for hedging and efficiency portfolio management purposes,
- cash and cash equivalent instruments which may be held as ancillary liquidity or for risk balancing purposes.

Since the Sub-fund invests mostly in government bonds, the concept of minimum environmental and social safeguards does not apply.



● ***In which economic sectors were the investments made?***

Sector	Sub-sector*	% Assets
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND DEFENCE; COMPULSORY SOCIAL SECURITY	○	99.91%

* Sub-Sector: NACE Section Code

Sub-sector represents the NACE Section Code, or rather the Nomenclature of Economic Activities (NACE) Section Code and it refers to the Level 1 economic activity code identified by alphabetical letters A to U established by the European Union.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Investments in environmentally sustainable economic activities aligned with the EU taxonomy represented 0,0% of the portfolio.

● ***Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy?***¹

Yes

In fossil gas

In nuclear energy

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.


emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (Capex) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (Opex) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



This graph represents 0.00 % of the total investment.

*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

● **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

The share of investments in transitional and enabling activities was 0%.

● **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Not applicable, the financial product does not have a commitment to invest in activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable, the financial product does not have sustainable investment objectives.

are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable, the financial product does not have sustainable investment objectives.





What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The remaining portfolio may also hold instruments not subject to the ESG integration process such as cash and cash equivalent instruments or for risk balancing purposes and derivatives for risk balancing purposes and efficient portfolio management. This category may also include securities for which relevant data is not available. There are no guarantees on the respect of the minimum environmental and social safeguards criteria regarding the investments under the category "#2 Others".



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The Index promoted Environmental and Social characteristics as well as best practices of Corporate Governance using Bloomberg Fixed Income Index Methodology and the Bloomberg MSCI ESG Fixed Income Indices Methodology. The Index is designed to represent the performance of the government bonds issued in the Eurozone, giving more weight to countries having Environment, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) rating equal or above AA.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

● ***How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***

Not applicable

● ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***

Not applicable

● ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***

Not applicable

● ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.